


**REMARKS**

Claim 21 has been amended, but does not include new matter. Claims 21-29 are pending.

Claims 21-29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' alleged Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) and U.S. Patent No. 7,074,709 (Young). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Claim 21 recites an electrode, comprising an adhesion layer and first, second and third conductive layers, wherein "said adhesion layer is entirely under said third conductive layer." The AAPA-Young combination does not disclose, teach or suggest this limitation. The AAPA teaches a first electrode having two conductive layers 102, 112 and a second electrode having one conductive layer 210. The AAPA, therefore, does not disclose, teach or suggest an electrode having 3 conductive layers. While Young teaches three conductive layers, the adhesion layer 36 of Young (FIGS. 3A-3B) is not "entirely" under the third conductive layer 38 (see FIG. 3B reproduced below).




The AAPA-Young combination, therefore, does not teach, disclose or suggest an electrode with an adhesive layer entirely under a third conductive layer. As a result, the AAPA-Young combination does not render obvious claim 21.

Claims 22-29 depend from claim 21 and are patentable for at least the reasons set forth above with respect to claim 21. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection should be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

In view of the above, Applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: October 30, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Gianni Minutoli  
Registration No.: 41,198  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1825 Eye Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-5403  
(202) 420-2200  
Attorney for Applicants